arXiv:1402.2448v1 [math.OA] 11 Feb 2014

Diagonal Couplings of Quantum Markov Chains

Burkhard Kümmerer and Kay Schwieger

Abstract

In this article we extend the coupling method from classical probability theory to quantum Markov chains on atomic von Neumann algebras. In particular, we establish a coupling inequality, which allow us to estimate convergence rates by analyzing couplings. For a given tensor dilation we construct a self-coupling of a Markov operator. It turns out that on a dense subset the coupling is a dual version of the extended dual transition operator previously studied by Gohm et al. We deduce that this coupling is successful if and only if the dilation is asymptotically complete.

1 Introduction

In classical probability theory the coupling method has evolved to one of the standard techniques to analyze ergodic properties of stochastic processes. It is particularly useful for two kinds of problems: First, ergodic properties, e. g. ergodicity or mixing, can be characterized by the possibility to couple with a certain type of systems (see e. g. [Für67, Gla03]).[1] Second, the mixing time of a process can be estimated by constructing and analyzing particular couplings (see e. g. [Pit74, Lin02]). For the first type of problems some generalization to quantum theory has been successfully investigated in recent years by F. Fidaleo [Fid09] and by a series of papers of R. Duvenhage, e. g. [Duv08, Duv10, Duv12]. To our knowledge the second type of problems, however, has not been addressed in quantum theory yet.

This paper gives a first approach to the coupling method for quantum Markov chains. We establish an upper bound for the speed of mixing of the process via couplings. Furthermore, we provide a construction of couplings to which this estimate can successfully be applied. We show that the convergence of the coupling is intimately related to the scattering theory developed by B. Kümmerer and H. Maassen [KM00]. For classical systems this was already observed by R. Gohm, B. Kümmerer, and T. Lang [GKL06]. We prove a duality that extends their observation to non-commutative systems.

[1] Note that the literature on these problems prefers the term "joining" instead of coupling.

For non-classical systems we observe that the constructed couplings converge to highly entangled states. Although we do not explicitly investigate entanglement properties, we hope that our investigations also sheds some light onto the subject of entangled Markov operators or entangled quantum channels.

The paper itself is organized as follows: After some preliminaries, Section 2 introduces couplings in general and provides some elementary properties of the diagonal coupling. Our von Neumann algebraic approach raises the question under which conditions the (purely algebraic) diagonal state admits a normal extension. This is addressed in Section 3, where we find that this requirement restricts us to purely atomic von Neumann algebras. In Section 4 we establish a coupling inequality by means of so called *diagonal projections*. The tightest bounds in this estimate are given by maximal diagonal projections. We characterize these projections for the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, the bounded operators on a Hilbert space.

From Section 5 on we turn our attention to Markovian dynamics. For a tensor dilation of a Markov operator we introduce a canonically associated coupling, the so called *diagonal coupling*. We prove in Section 6 that this coupling satisfies a duality to the extended dual transition operator studied by R. Gohm [Goh04]. This allows us to give a necessary and sufficient condition for the convergence of the diagonal coupling and hence a criteria for the original Markov chain to be mixing. We finish by applying our method to some illustrating examples and discussing the result in Section 7.

Notations and Prelilminaries

Throughout the paper, complex Hilbert spaces are usually denoted by $\mathfrak{H}$ or $\mathfrak{K}$. Their scalar product is assumed to be linear in the first component. For their Hilbert space tensor product we write $\mathfrak{H} \otimes \mathfrak{K}$. The set of bounded operators on a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Von Neumann algebras are usually denoted by $\mathcal{M}$ or $\mathcal{N}$. For two von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ we write $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ for their von Neumann tensor product and $\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{N}$ for their algebraic tensor product. A unital completely positive normal map $S : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ is briefly called a *Markov operator*. For two such maps $S : \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2$ and $T : \mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{N}_2$ their tensor product is denoted by $S \otimes T : \mathcal{M}_1 \otimes \mathcal{N}_1 \to \mathcal{M}_2 \otimes \mathcal{N}_2$.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra. Most of the time we will assume that $\mathcal{M}$ acts in standard representation on its standard Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. We write $J : L^2(\mathcal{M}) \to L^2(\mathcal{M})$ for the modular conjugation. By Tomita-Takesaki-Theory the commutant of $\mathcal{M}$ in this representation is given by $\mathcal{M}' = J\mathcal{M}J$. Thus, the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}'$ is anti-linearly isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}$ via the map $x \mapsto JxJ$, or equivalently, $\mathcal{M}'$ is anti-multiplicatively isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}$ via $x \mapsto Jx^*J$. For a normal state φ on $\mathcal{M}$ we write ξ_φ for its standard GNS-vector. By σ_t^φ, $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we denote the modular automorphism group associated with φ. For further details on Tomita-Takesaki-Theory we recommend [Ped79] or [Str81].

For each completely positive map $T : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ between von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$ we define its *opposite map* by

$$T' : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{N}', \quad T'(x') := J\,T(J\,x'\,J)\,J.$$

For a normal state φ on $\mathcal{M}$ its opposite φ' is given by the same GNS-vector, i. e., for all $x \in \mathcal{M}'$ we have

$$\varphi'(x') = \langle x'\xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi\rangle.$$

The standard representations of the algebra $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ is given by the Hilbert space $\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})$ of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathfrak{H}$, on which $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ acting via by left multiplication. The modular conjugation is given by $J\rho = \rho^*$, $\rho \in \mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})$. We will frequently identify $\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})$ canonically with the tensor product $\mathfrak{H} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{H}}$, where $\bar{\mathfrak{H}}$ denotes the conjugated Hilbert space of $\mathfrak{H}$. In this picture the standard representation is given by $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ acting on the first tensor factor. The modular conjugation is the continuous linear extension of $J(\xi \otimes \eta) = \eta \otimes \xi$ for all $\xi \in \mathfrak{H}$, $\eta \in \bar{\mathfrak{H}}$. In this particular example the von Neumann tensor product $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})'$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}\big(\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})\big) = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{H}})$.

2 Coupling of Quantum States & Diagonal States

In this section we introduce the basic notions of couplings and diagonal states. These notions can be defined in a C*-algebraic or even purely algebraic context. However, we restrict ourselves to von Neumann algebras for reasons that will become important in the later sections: First, we use the Tomita-Takesaki-Theory for von Neumann algebras in some of our results. Second, the coupling inequality established in Section 4 relies on diagonal projections, whose existence is not guaranteed for C*-algebras. And third, the scattering theory for Markov processes discussed in Section 6 is developed for von Neumann algebras.

Definition 2.1. Let φ and ψ be normal states on von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively. A *coupling* of φ and ψ is a normal state $\hat{\varphi}$ on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ satisfying

$$\hat{\varphi}(x \otimes \mathbb{1}) = \varphi(x)\ , \qquad\qquad \hat{\varphi}(\mathbb{1} \otimes y) = \psi(y) \tag{1}$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y \in \mathcal{N}$. The states φ and ψ are called the *marginals* of $\hat{\varphi}$.

In the literature on stochastic dynamical systems a coupling of φ and ψ with an additional invariance property is also called a *joining*. This term goes back to the work of H. Fürstenberg (e. g., [Für67]) and refers to the notion of a joint distribution. However, we decided to use the term "coupling", to refer to the established coupling method in classical probability theory (see e. g. [Lin02, Tho00]).

For two fixed states φ and ψ the set of all couplings of φ and ψ is obviously a convex set containing the product state $\varphi\otimes\psi$. Moreover, $\varphi\otimes\psi$ is the only coupling of φ and ψ if and

only if one of the states φ or ψ is pure. For the algebra M_k of $(k \times k)$-matrices the set of all couplings with fixed marginals is frequently studied in the context of entanglement. For more detailed characterizations of this set we refer to [Rud04, Par05].

In classical probability theory for each probability measure μ on a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω there is a (unique) measure on the product space $\Omega \times \Omega$ that is concentrated on the diagonal $\Delta := \{(\omega, \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ and whose marginals are both equal to μ. This measure is called the *diagonal measure* of μ. It is given by the extension of $\mu_\Delta(A \times B) := \mu(A \cap B)$ for all measurable subsets $A, B \subseteq \Omega$. For a state φ on a non-commutative algebra $\mathcal{M}$, however, the straightforward definition via $\varphi_\Delta(a \otimes b) := \varphi(a \cdot b)$ for all $a, b \in \mathcal{M}$ fails to be positive in general. A workaround to deal with this issue was proposed by R. Duvenhage [Duv08] and F. Fidaleo [Fid09]. In the following we repeat this construction and derive some elementary properties.

Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a von Neumann algebra and assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is given in its standard representation on $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. By definition the elements of $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$ commute. Therefore, we obtain a representation of the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}'$ on the standard Hilbert space $L^2(\mathcal{M})$ by putting

$$\pi_\Delta : \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M})), \quad x \otimes y' \mapsto x \cdot y'$$

and extending linearly. We call π_Δ the *diagonal representation*. In general π_Δ cannot be extended to a normal representation of $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$. In fact, it can be extended normally if and only if the algebra $\mathcal{M}$ is atomic (see [Fid01]).

Definition 2.2. Let φ be a normal state on $\mathcal{M}$ and denote by $\xi_\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ its GNS-vector. The *diagonal state* of φ is the state φ_Δ on the algebraic tensor product $\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}'$ given by the linear extension of

$$\varphi_\Delta(x \otimes y') := \langle \pi_\Delta(x \otimes y')\, \xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle = \langle xy'\, \xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle \qquad (x \in \mathcal{M}, y' \in \mathcal{M}').$$

The diagonal state satisfies $\varphi_\Delta(x \otimes \mathbb{1}) = \varphi(x)$ and $\varphi_\Delta(\mathbb{1} \otimes y') = \varphi'(y')$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$. Thus, apart from its algebraic domain, φ_Δ is a coupling of the state φ and its opposite state φ'.

Example 2.3. Let φ be a normal state on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ and $\varphi(x) = \sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i \langle x e_i, e_i \rangle$, $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, a spectral decomposition with an orthonormal system $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ and coefficients $0 \leq \lambda_i \leq 1$, $\sum_{i \in I} \lambda_i = 1$. Then the normal extension of the diagonal state φ_Δ to $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})' = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{H}})$ is given by

$$\varphi_\Delta(z) = \langle z\, \xi_\varphi, \xi_\varphi \rangle \qquad \text{with} \qquad \xi_\varphi := \sum\nolimits_{i \in I} \sqrt{\lambda_i}\, e_i \otimes e_i$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{H}})$.

The following proposition states that the map $\varphi \mapsto \varphi_\Delta$ naturally respects the decomposition of the algebra into direct sums and tensor products. The precise formulation though looks a bit technical. We leave the straightforward proof to the reader.

Proposition 2.4. *Let φ and ψ be normal states on von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively.*

1. *For $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$ consider the normal state $\lambda\varphi \oplus (1-\lambda)\psi$ on the direct sum $\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}$. Then*
$$\big(\lambda\varphi \oplus (1-\lambda)\psi\big)_\Delta = \big(\lambda\varphi_\Delta \oplus (1-\lambda)\psi_\Delta\big) \circ P \,,$$
where $P : (\mathcal{M} \oplus \mathcal{N}) \odot (\mathcal{M}' \oplus \mathcal{N}') \to (\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}') \oplus (\mathcal{N} \odot \mathcal{N}')$ denotes the canonical projection given by the linear extension of $P\big((x \oplus y) \otimes (x' \oplus y')\big) := (x \otimes x') \oplus (y \otimes y')$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$, $x' \in \mathcal{M}'$, $y \in \mathcal{N}$, $y' \in \mathcal{N}'$.

2. *Consider the tensor product state $\varphi \otimes \psi$ on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$. Then*
$$(\varphi \otimes \psi)_\Delta = (\varphi_\Delta \otimes \psi_\Delta) \circ \sigma \,,$$
where $\sigma : (\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{N}) \odot (\mathcal{M}' \odot \mathcal{N}') \to (\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}') \odot (\mathcal{N} \odot \mathcal{N}')$ denotes the tensor flip of the inner pair of tensor factors.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{N}$ be an injective unital normal *-homomorphism of von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$. Let ψ be a faithful normal state on $\mathcal{N}$ and put $\varphi := \psi \circ \alpha$. Suppose that α commutes with the corresponding modular automorphism groups, i. e., $\sigma_t^\psi \circ \alpha = \alpha \circ \sigma_t^\varphi$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then*
$$\psi_\Delta \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha') = \varphi_\Delta \,.$$

Proof. Let $\xi_\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ and $\xi_\psi \in L^2(\mathcal{N})$ denote the GNS-vectors of φ and ψ, respectively. The homomorphism α gives rise to an isometry $v : L^2(\mathcal{M}) \to L^2(\mathcal{N})$ by extending $v(x\xi_\varphi) = \alpha(x)\xi_\psi$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Since α is *-preserving and commutes with the modular automorphism group, this isometry commutes with the modular operator and the modular conjugation. We therefore get
$$v(JyJ)\xi_\varphi = Jvy\xi_\varphi = J\alpha(y)\xi_\psi = \alpha'(JyJ)\xi_\psi$$
for every $y \in \mathcal{M}$. Hence for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we obtain
$$\begin{aligned}\psi_\Delta\big((\alpha \otimes \alpha')(x \otimes y')\big) &= \langle \alpha'(y')\xi_\psi,\ \alpha(x^*)\xi_\psi\rangle = \langle vy'\xi_\varphi, vx^*\xi_\varphi\rangle = \langle y'\xi_\varphi, x^*\xi_\varphi\rangle \\ &= \varphi_\Delta(x \otimes y'),\end{aligned}$$
that is, we have $\psi_\Delta \circ (\alpha \otimes \alpha') = \varphi_\Delta$ by linear extension. □

3 Normal Extensions of the Diagonal State

In this section we investigate the question whether for a given normal state φ on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$ the diagonal state φ_Δ on $\mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}'$ admits a (necessary unique) normal extension to the von Neumann tensor product $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$. It turns out that this requirement essentially restricts us to purely atomic von Neumann algebras, i. e. algebras of the form $\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}_i)$ for some index set I and some Hilbert spaces $\mathfrak{H}_i$, $i \in I$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let φ be a normal state on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Denote by $c \in \mathcal{M}$ the central support of φ. If the diagonal state φ_Δ admits a normal extension to $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$, then $c\mathcal{M}$ is purely atomic.*

Remark 3.2.
1. The converse obviously holds, too (cf. Example 2.3 and Proposition 2.4).
2. Our proof essentially refines the arguments given by Fidaleo [Fid01], who studied normal extensions of the diagonal representation.

For the proof we first establish two lemmas dealing separately with the abelian case and factors. For the abelian case consider a locally compact Hausdorff space Ω equipped with a Radon measure μ. For a measure ν on Ω we write $\nu \ll \mu$ if ν is absolutely continuous with respect to μ.

Lemma 3.3. *Let $\nu \ll \mu$ be a Radon probability measure on Ω and denote by ν_Δ its diagonal measure on $\Omega \times \Omega$. If $\nu_\Delta \ll \mu \otimes \mu$ then ν is purely atomic.*

Proof. Decompose $\mu =: \mu_a + \mu_c$ into its purely atomic part μ_a and its atomless part μ_c. Then

$$\mu \otimes \mu = \mu_a \otimes \mu_a + \mu_a \otimes \mu_c + \mu_c \otimes \mu_a + \mu_c \otimes \mu_c.$$

On the right hand side only the purely atomic measure $\mu_a \otimes \mu_a$ does not vanish on the diagonal $\Delta := \{(\omega, \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$. Since Δ contains the support of ν_Δ, it follows that $\nu_\Delta \ll \mu_a \otimes \mu_a$. Consequently, also ν_Δ is purely atomic; and so is its marginal ν. □

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{M}$ be a factor and let φ be a normal state $\mathcal{M}$. If the diagonal state φ_Δ extends to a normal state on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$, then $\mathcal{M}$ is of Type I.*

Proof. We assume that $\mathcal{M}$ is given in its standard representation on $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. Denote by $\xi_\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ the GNS-vector of φ. Since $\mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{M}' = \mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$, the vector ξ_φ is cyclic for the subalgebra jointly generated by $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}'$. Therefore, the GNS-representation of $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ with respect to φ_Δ is (up to unitary equivalence) a normal extension of the diagonal representation. In particular, the diagonal representation admits a normal extension to the von Neumann tensor product

$$\pi_{\varphi_\Delta} : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M})).$$

Since the factor $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ does not have non-trivial σ-weakly closed ideals, this representation is faithful and, consequently, $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ is isomorphic to the range

$$\pi_{\varphi_\Delta}(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}') = (\mathcal{M} \cup \mathcal{M}')'' = (\mathcal{M}' \cap \mathcal{M})' = \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M})).$$

The assertion now follows from the fact that $\mathcal{M}$, $\mathcal{M}'$, and $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ all share the same type. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We denote by φ_Δ the normal extension of the diagonal state. We write $Z(\mathcal{M})$ for the center of $\mathcal{M}$ and denote by (Ω, μ) the corresponding locally compact Hausdorff space with Radon measure satisfying $Z(\mathcal{M}) = L^\infty(\Omega, \mu)$. The restriction of φ to the center gives rise to a Radon probability measure $\nu \ll \mu$. On $Z(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = L^\infty(\Omega \times \Omega, \mu \otimes \mu)$ the state φ_Δ yields the corresponding diagonal measure ν_Δ. Since φ_Δ is normal on $Z(\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M})$, it follows that $\nu_\Delta \ll \mu \otimes \mu$. By Lemma 3.3 the measure ν is purely atomic, i. e., there are (countably many) minimal projections $c_i \in Z(M)$, $i \in I$, with $c = \sum_{i \in I} c_i$.

Now fix an index $i \in I$ and put $\mathcal{M}_i := c_i\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}_i' := c_i\mathcal{M}'$. Due to minimality of c_i the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}_i := c_i\mathcal{M}$ is a factor. On this algebra consider the renormalized normal state $\varphi_i(x) := \varphi(x)/\varphi(c_i)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}_i$. The diagonal state of φ_i admits a normal extension to $\mathcal{M}_i \otimes \mathcal{M}_i'$ given by

$$(\varphi_i)_\Delta(z) := \frac{\varphi_\Delta\big((c_i \otimes c_i)z\big)}{\varphi_\Delta(c_i \otimes c_i)}$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{M}_i \otimes \mathcal{M}_i' \subseteq \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$. With Lemma 3.4 we conclude that $\mathcal{M}_i$ is Type I; in particular, $\mathcal{M}_i$ is purely atomic. The direct sum $c\mathcal{M} = \bigoplus_{i \in I} \mathcal{M}_i$ is therefore purely atomic, too. □

4 A Quantum Coupling Inequality

After introducing the notion of couplings in general, we now show how a coupling can be used to derive estimates for the distance of its marginals. This is well known for probability measures. More precisely, for a coupling (joint distribution) $\hat{\mu}$ of two probability measures μ and ν on some locally compact Hausdorff space Ω the inequality

$$\begin{aligned}\mu(A) - \nu(A) &= \hat{\mu}(A \times \Omega) - \hat{\mu}(\Omega \times A)\\ &= \hat{\mu}\big((A \times \Omega) \cap \Delta^C\big) - \hat{\mu}\big((\Omega \times A) \cap \Delta^C\big)\\ &\leq \hat{\mu}\big((\Omega \times \Omega) \cap \Delta^C\big) \leq \hat{\mu}(\Delta^C)\end{aligned}$$

holds for every measurable set $A \subseteq \Omega$, where Δ^C denotes the complement of the diagonal $\Delta := \{(\omega, \omega) \mid \omega \in \Omega\}$ in $\Omega \times \Omega$. It follows that the variation distance of μ and ν can be estimated by

$$\|\mu - \nu\| \leq 2\big(1 - \hat{\mu}(\Delta)\big).$$

This so called *coupling inequality* provides one of the fundamental ingredients of the coupling method in classical probability theory. In this section we show that a similar estimate holds for quantum couplings.

Definition 4.1. A projection $p_\Delta \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ is called a *diagonal projection* if

$$p_\Delta(x \otimes \mathbb{1})p_\Delta = p_\Delta(\mathbb{1} \otimes Jx^*J)p_\Delta$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$.

Our main motivation for studying diagonal projections is founded on the following simple estimate, which provides a coupling inequality in the non-commutative context:

Theorem 4.2 (Quantum Coupling Inequality)**.** *Let φ and ψ be normal states on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Furthermore, let $\hat{\varphi}$ be a coupling of φ and the opposite state ψ' and let $p_\Delta \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ be a diagonal projection. Then*

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq 4(1 - \hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta))^{1/2}.$$

Proof. Let $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. For sake of brevity we put $x_\Delta := x \otimes \mathbb{1} - \mathbb{1} \otimes Jx^*J$. Then we have

$$|\varphi(x) - \psi(x)| = |\hat{\varphi}(x_\Delta)| \leq |\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta\, x_\Delta\, p_\Delta)| + |\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta\, x_\Delta\, p_\Delta^\perp)| + |\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta^\perp\, x_\Delta)|.$$

On the right hand side, the first term of the sum vanishes, because p_Δ is a diagonal projection. For the second and third term an application of the Cauchy Schwarz inequality shows that both are less than $\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta^\perp)^{1/2} \cdot \|x_\Delta\|$; and hence

$$|\varphi(x) - \psi(x)| \leq 2 \cdot \hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta^\perp)^{1/2} \cdot \|x_\Delta\|$$

Since $\|x\| \leq 1$ implies $\|x_\Delta\| \leq 2$, the assertion of the theorem follows by taking the supremum over all elements $x \in \mathcal{M}$ with $\|x\| \leq 1$. □

Remark 4.3. The estimate stated in the theorem is chosen to have a similar form as the classical inequality. In fact, the above proof yields the slightly better bound

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| \leq 2\left(1 + \hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta)^{1/2}\right)\left(1 - \hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta)\right)^{1/2} \tag{2}$$

if we apply the Cauchy Schwarz inequality more carefully. This estimates is not tight in general as the following simple example shows:

Example 4.4. Consider the algebra M_2 of (2×2)-matrices and the two states

$$\varphi(x) := x_{1,1}, \qquad \psi(x) := \tfrac{1}{2}\langle x \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix}1\\1\end{pmatrix}\rangle, \qquad x \in M_2.$$

The norm distance of these states is given by $\|\varphi - \psi\| = \sqrt{2}$. Since φ and ψ' are pure, their only coupling is $\hat{\varphi} = \varphi \otimes \psi'$. For $\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta) \leq 1/4$ the r. h. s. of inequality (2) yields a value greater or equal to 2, thus the inequality is trivial. For $\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta) > \frac{1}{4}$ the r. h. s. of the inequality is decreasing as a function of $\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta)$. For the tightest bound we therefore have to maximize $\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta)$ among all diagonal projections. In Proposition 4.6 on the following page we will show that all maximal diagonal projections in $M_2 \otimes M_2'$ are of the form

$$p_\Delta := (p \otimes JpJ) + (p^\perp \otimes Jp^\perp J)$$

for some one-dimensional projection $p \in M_2$. For such a projection p fix a unit vector in the corresponding subspace of the form $\xi = \begin{pmatrix}\sqrt{r}\\ e^{i\omega}\sqrt{t}\end{pmatrix}$ with $r, t \geq 0$, $r + t = 1$, $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. Then we obtain

$$\hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta) = \tfrac{1}{2} \cdot r \cdot |\sqrt{r} + e^{i\omega}\sqrt{t}|^2 + \tfrac{1}{2} \cdot t \cdot |\sqrt{r} - e^{i\omega}\sqrt{t}|^2 = \tfrac{1}{2} + \Re(e^{i\omega})(r - t)\sqrt{rt}.$$

The maximum $\varphi(p_\Delta) = \frac{3}{4}$ is attained at $\omega = 0$, $r = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}$, and $t = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{4}\sqrt{2}$. Hence for every diagonal projection we have $\varphi(p_\Delta) \leq \frac{3}{4}$ and, consequently,

$$\|\varphi - \psi\| = \sqrt{2} < 1 + \tfrac{1}{2}\sqrt{3} \leq 2\big(1 + \hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta)^{1/2}\big)\,\big(1 - \hat{\varphi}(p_\Delta)\big)^{1/2}.$$

The maximal diagonal projections play a distinguished role: First, it is immediate from the definition that projections $q \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ that are dominated by a diagonal projection $p_\Delta \geq q$ are again diagonal. To determine all diagonal projections it hence suffices to characterize the maximal diagonal projections. Second, the maximal projections yield the best bounds in the coupling inequality of Theorem 4.2.

Example 4.5.

1. For the von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M} = \ell^\infty(S)$ with a discrete set S all diagonal projections $\chi_A \in \ell^\infty(S \times S)$ are characteristic functions of some subset of the diagonal $\Delta := \{(\omega, \omega) \mid s \in S\}$. In particular, there is a *unique* maximal diagonal projection, namely Δ itself.

2. For a normal state φ on $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ denote by φ_Δ the normal extension of the diagonal state to $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})' = \mathcal{B}\big(\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})\big)$. The one-dimensional support projection $p_\Delta := \operatorname{supp} \varphi_\Delta$ then is a diagonal projection. These projection will play a distinguished role later (Theorem 6.7). For different normal states φ and ψ the two diagonal projections $p_\Delta := \operatorname{supp} \varphi_\Delta$ and $q_\Delta := \operatorname{supp} \psi_\Delta$ in general have no dominating diagonal projection in common. More precisely, it follows from the following proposition that there is a diagonal projection larger than p_Δ and q_Δ if and only if φ and ψ have commuting densities.

Proposition 4.6. *For a projection $p_\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})'$ the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) p_Δ is a maximal diagonal projection.

(b) There is an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i \in I}$ of $\mathfrak{H}$ such that

$$p_\Delta = \sum_{i \in I} p_i \otimes J p_i J,$$

where p_i denotes the projection onto $\mathbb{C}e_i$ and where sum converges in the σ-strong topology.

Proof. We assume that $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ is given in its standard representation acting via left multiplication on the Hilbert space $L^2(\mathfrak{H})$ of all Hilbert-Schmidt operators on $\mathfrak{H}$.

1. As a first step we show that a one-dimensional projection onto the subspace $\mathbb{C}\rho$, $\rho \in \mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})$, is diagonal if and only if ρ is a normal Hilbert-Schmidt operator: Take an arbitrary unit vector $\rho \in L^2(\mathfrak{H})$ and denote by $p_\rho \in \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathfrak{H})\big)$ the orthogonal projection onto the subspace $\mathbb{C}\rho \subseteq L^2(\mathfrak{H})$. Since $p_\rho \cdot z \cdot p_\rho = \langle z\rho, \rho\rangle \cdot p_\rho$ for every $z \in \mathcal{B}\big(\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})\big)$, it follows that p_ρ is a diagonal projection if and only if the equation

$$\langle (x \otimes \mathbb{1})\rho, \rho\rangle = \langle (\mathbb{1} \otimes Jx^*J)\rho, \rho\rangle \tag{3}$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Computing the left and right hand side, we obtain

$$\langle (x \otimes \mathbb{1})\rho, \rho\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho^* x \rho) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho\rho^* x), \qquad \langle (\mathbb{1} \otimes Jx^*J)\rho, \rho\rangle = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho^*\rho x)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$. Now, if $\rho^*\rho = \rho\rho^*$ then both sides of (3) obviously coincide, that is, p_ρ is a diagonal projection. Conversely, since the functionals $\mathrm{Tr}(\cdot\, x)$ with $x \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ are separating for $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$, Equation (3) implies $\rho^*\rho = \rho\rho^*$.

2. As a second step we show that for a diagonal projection p_Δ the corresponding subspace $p_\Delta \mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})$ contains mutually commuting Hilbert-Schmidt operators: Let $\rho_1, \rho_2 \in p_\Delta L^2(\mathfrak{H})$ be two elements of $p_\Delta \mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ the element $\rho_1 + \alpha\rho_2$ is in this subspace, too. Since the projection onto $\mathbb{C}(\rho_1 + \alpha\rho_2)$ is dominated by p_Δ, it is also a diagonal projection. From part 1 of the proof we know that $\rho_1 + \alpha\rho_2$ is a normal operator for all $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. By a polarization argument it follows that $\rho_1\rho_2^* = \rho_2^*\rho_1$ and hence $\rho_1\rho_2 = \rho_2\rho_1$ (cf. [Con90, Ex. IX.2.18]).

3. Finally we prove the assertion: Let $p_\Delta \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathfrak{H}))$ be a maximal diagonal projection. By part 2 of the proof the corresponding subspace $p_\Delta L^2(\mathfrak{H})$ contains mutually commuting normal Hilbert-Schmidt operators. Consequently, they are simultaneously diagonalizable, that is, there is an orthonormal basis $(e_i)_{i\in I}$ of $\mathfrak{H}$ such that for each $\rho \in p_\Delta L^2(\mathfrak{H})$ all e_i, $i \in I$, are eigenvectors of ρ. Put

$$q_\Delta := \sum_{i\in I} p_i \otimes Jp_iJ \in \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathfrak{H})),$$

where p_i denotes the projection onto $\mathbb{C}e_i$. It is straightforward to check that this is indeed a diagonal projection. By construction we have $q_\Delta \geq p_\Delta$ and hence $p_\Delta = q_\Delta$ by maximality. □

5 The Diagonal Coupling of a Tensor Dilation

From states we now shift out attention to unital completely positive normal maps, briefly called *Markov operators*. Our goals is to apply the Quantum Coupling Inequality to the (in general irreversible) dynamical system given such a map. For this purpose we study not only couplings of states, but also couplings of Markov operators in the following sense:

Definition 5.1. Let $S : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ and $T : \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{N}$ be two Markov operators on von Neumann algebras $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{N}$, respectively. A *coupling* of S and T is a Markov operator $\hat{T} : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ such that

$$\hat{T}(x \otimes \mathbb{1}) = S(x) \otimes \mathbb{1}, \qquad \hat{T}(\mathbb{1} \otimes y) = \mathbb{1} \otimes T(y)$$

holds for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y \in \mathcal{N}$.

As previously for states, the set of all couplings of two fixed Markov operators clearly is a convex set containing the tensor product map $S \otimes T$. Moreover, $S \otimes T$ is the only coupling if and only if S or T is an extremal Markov operator (see [HHP12]). In contrast to couplings of states not every Markov operator $\hat{T} : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ is a coupling; it has to leave the algebras $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{1}$ and $\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{N}$ invariant.

Example 5.2. Suppose S and T admit convex decompositions $S = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k S_k$ and $T = \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k T_k$ with Markov operators S_k and T_k, and with the same coefficients $0 \leq \lambda_k \leq 1$, $\sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k = 1$. Then

$$\hat{T} := \sum_{k=1}^{N} \lambda_k \cdot (S_k \otimes T_k)$$

is a coupling of S and T. If one of the algebras $\mathcal{M}$ or $\mathcal{N}$ is commutative and finite dimensional, then every coupling of S and T is of this form.

In physics many examples of Markov operators arise from an interaction of the system with a random environment. Mathematically speaking, the Markov operator is given by a dilation. For this paper we restrict ourselves to the investigation of tensor dilations with atomic algebras. In the following we show how such a dilation canonically gives rise to a coupling called the *diagonal coupling*.

Let $T : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M}$ be a Markov operator on a von Neumann algebra $\mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a purely atomic von Neumann algebra and let ψ be a faithful normal state on $\mathcal{C}$. Denote by ψ_Δ the normal extension of the diagonal state of ψ. Furthermore, let $\Gamma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ be an injective unital normal *-homomorphism such that

$$T = (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \psi) \circ \Gamma,$$

where $\mathrm{Id} \otimes \psi$ denotes the normal linear extension of $x \otimes c \mapsto \psi(c) \cdot x$ for all $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}$. We denote by $T' : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}'$ and $\Gamma' : \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{C}'$ the opposite maps of T and Γ, respectively, and define an injective unital normal *-homomorphism by

$$\hat{\Gamma} : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \longrightarrow (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}') \otimes (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}'), \qquad \hat{\Gamma} := \sigma \circ (\Gamma \otimes \Gamma'),$$

where $\sigma : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \otimes \mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}'$ denotes the tensor flip of the inner pair of tensor factors. Then a straightforward computation yields the following:

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\hat{\psi}$ be a coupling of ψ and ψ'. Then the Markov operator*

$$\hat{T} : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}', \qquad \hat{T} := (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \hat{\psi}) \circ \hat{\Gamma}$$

is a coupling of T and T'.

Definition 5.4. The Markov operator obtained for the particular choice $\hat{\psi} := \psi_\Delta$ in Proposition 5.3,

$$\hat{T}_\Delta : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}', \qquad \hat{T}_\Delta := (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \psi_\Delta) \circ \hat{\Gamma},$$

is called the *diagonal coupling* of T associated with Γ and ψ.

Remark 5.5. If the algebra $\mathcal{C}$ is not purely atomic, the same construction still provides us with the algebraic variant of the diagonal coupling $\hat{T}_\Delta : \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}'$

6 Convergence of the Diagonal Coupling

For a moment suppose that $\mathcal{M}$ is purely atomic and that there is a faithful normal state φ on $\mathcal{M}$ with $(\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Gamma = \varphi$ such that Γ commutes with the modular automorphism groups. Then by Proposition 2.5 on page 5 it follows that the normal extentension of the diagonal state φ_Δ is invariant for the diagonal coupling, i. e.,

$$\varphi_\Delta \circ \hat{T}_\Delta = \varphi_\Delta.$$

It follows that the support projection $p_\Delta := \operatorname{supp} \varphi_\Delta$ satisfies $\hat{T}_\Delta(p_\Delta) \geq p_\Delta$. Thus, the question arises under which conditions the increasing sequence $\hat{T}_\Delta^n(p_\Delta)$ converges to $\mathbb{1}$. It turns out that this question is related to the scattering theory of the dilation established in [KM00]. To make this connection precise we first briefly recall some fundamentals of this theory:

Let $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{C}$ be arbitrary von Neumann algebras, let $\Gamma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ an injective unital normal *-homomorphism, and let ψ be a faithful normal state on $\mathcal{C}$. We denote by $v : L^2(\mathcal{M}) \to L^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes L^2(\mathcal{C})$ the unique isometry with

$$v\, x\xi_\varphi = \Gamma(x)(\xi_\varphi \otimes \xi_\psi)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$. Let $\mathcal{C}^+ := \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty (\mathcal{C}, \psi)$ be the infinite tensor product von Neumann algebra along the product states $\psi^{\otimes n}$ on $\mathcal{C}^{\otimes n}$, and write $\psi^+ := \bigotimes_{n=1}^\infty \psi$ for the normal product state on $\mathcal{C}^+$ (cf. [BR87, Sec. 2.7.2]). Then $\Gamma : \mathcal{M} \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}$ extends to an injective unital normal *-homomorphism $\alpha : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}^+ \to \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}^+$ with

$$\alpha(x \otimes c) := \Gamma(x) \otimes c$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $c \in \mathcal{C}^+$. For sake of brevity we put $\varphi^+ := \varphi \otimes \psi^+$ and write $\|z\|_{\varphi^+} := (\varphi \otimes \psi^+)(z^*z)^{1/2}$ for the norm on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}^+$ induced by φ^+.

Definition 6.1. The *extended dual transition operator* is the Markov operator

$$Z' : \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M})) \to \mathcal{B}(L^2(\mathcal{M})), \quad Z'(x) := v^*(x \otimes \mathbb{1})v.$$

The extended dual transition operator was introduced and studied in [Goh04] to classify different tensor dilations of T. Furthermore, in [GKL06] the authors showed that this operator allows to give a simple characterization of asymptotic completeness of the dilation as follows:

Theorem 6.2 (cf. [GKL06, KM00])**.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

(a) The σ-weak closure of the set $\bigcup_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \alpha^{-n}(\mathbb{1} \otimes \mathcal{C}^+)$ contains $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathbb{1}$.

(b) For every $x \in \mathcal{M}$

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big\|\alpha^n(x \otimes \mathbb{1}) - (\varphi \otimes \mathrm{Id})(\alpha^n(x \otimes \mathbb{1}))\big\|_{\varphi^+} = 0.$$

(c) The fixed space of Z' is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$.

Definition 6.3. The homomorphism Γ is called *asymptotically complete* if one of the equivalent conditions in Proposition 6.2 is met.

Remark 6.4 (to the proof of Proposition 6.2). The equivalence of the conditions (b) and (c) was literally proven in [GKL06, Thm. 4.3]. The equivalence to condition (a) was shown in [KM00] for reversible dilations, i. e., if Γ is of the form $\Gamma(x) = \beta(x \otimes \mathbb{1})$ for some normal *-automorphism β of $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{C}$. The reader may easily adopt the proof without requiring reversibility.

As a next step we want to show that the extended transition operator and the diagonal coupling are in duality to each other, which allows us to answer the initial question of this section. To describe this duality, we fix a number $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1/2$. We denote by Δ_φ the modular operator associated with the state φ and define an injective linear map

$$i_\alpha : \mathcal{M} \to L^2(\mathcal{M}), \quad i_\alpha(x) := \Delta_\varphi^\alpha x \xi_\varphi.$$

Via this map we may identify $\mathcal{M}$ with a dense subset of $L^2(\mathcal{M})$. For each $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we obtain a linear functional $\Phi_\alpha(x \otimes y')$ on $\mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big)$ by putting

$$\Phi_\alpha(x \otimes y')(t) := \langle t\, i_\alpha(x),\ i_{\frac{1}{2}-\alpha}(Jy'J)\rangle \tag{4}$$

for every $t \in \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big)$. The linear extension of Equation (4) then gives rise to an injective linear map

$$\Phi_\alpha : \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big)_* .$$

Theorem 6.5. *Suppose that Γ commutes with the modular automorphism groups of φ and ψ, i. e., $(\sigma_t^\varphi \otimes \sigma_t^\psi) \circ \Gamma = \Gamma \circ \sigma_t^\varphi$ every $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Denote by $\hat{T}_\Delta : \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}' \to \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}'$ the diagonal coupling (cf. Remark 5.5) and by $Z' : \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big) \to \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big)$ the extended dual transition operator. Then for every $z \in \mathcal{M} \odot \mathcal{M}$ we have*

$$\Phi_\alpha(\hat{T}_\Delta(z)) = \Phi_\alpha(z) \circ Z'.$$

Remark 6.6. Notice that the map Φ_α and the extended dual transition operator Z' depend on the choice of the state φ on $\mathcal{M}$, but the diagonal coupling $\hat{T}_\Delta$ does not.

Proof. Denote by $\xi_\psi \in L^2(\mathcal{C})$ the GNS-vector and by Δ_ψ the modular operator associated with ψ. In analogy to the construction of i_α and Φ_α we obtain linear injections $j_\alpha : \mathcal{C} \to L^2(\mathcal{C})$ and $\Psi_\alpha : \mathcal{C} \odot \mathcal{C}' \to \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{C})\big)_*$. For the functional $\Psi_\alpha(c \otimes d')$ with $c \in \mathcal{C}$ and $d' \in \mathcal{C}'$ we compute

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\alpha(c \otimes d')(\mathbb{1}) &= \langle j_\alpha(c), j_{1/2-\alpha}(Jd'J)\rangle = \langle \Delta_\psi^\alpha c\xi_\psi,\ \Delta_\psi^{1/2-\alpha} Jd'\xi_\varphi\rangle \\ &= \langle c\xi_\varphi, (d')^*\xi_\varphi\rangle = \psi_\Delta(c \otimes d').\end{aligned}$$

This equation then extends linearly to the (algebraic) tensor product $\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}'$. Since Γ commutes with the modular automorphism groups, the associated isometry

$v : L^2(\mathcal{M}) \to L^2(\mathcal{M}) \otimes L^2(\mathcal{C})$ commutes with all powers of the modular operator on the respective domain. In particular, we have

$$vi_\alpha(x) = v\Delta_\varphi^\alpha x\xi_\varphi = (\Delta_\varphi^\alpha \otimes \Delta_\psi^\alpha)vx\xi_\varphi = (\Delta_\varphi^\alpha \otimes \Delta_\psi^\alpha)\Gamma(x)\xi_\varphi = (i_\alpha \otimes j_\alpha)\big(\Gamma(x)\big)$$

for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$. It follows that for every $x \in \mathcal{M}$ and $y' \in \mathcal{M}'$ we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}(\Phi_\alpha(x \otimes y') \circ Z')(t) &= \langle (t \otimes \mathbb{1})vi_\alpha(x),\ vi_{1/2-\alpha}(Jy'J)\rangle \\ &= \langle (t \otimes \mathbb{1})(i_\alpha \otimes j_\alpha)\big(\Gamma(x)\big),\ (i_\alpha \otimes j_\alpha)\big(J\Gamma'(y')J\big)\rangle = (\Phi_\alpha \otimes \Psi_\alpha)\big(\hat\Gamma(x \otimes y')\big)(t \otimes \mathbb{1}) \\ &= \Phi_\alpha\Big((\mathrm{Id} \otimes \psi_\Delta)\big(\hat\Gamma(x \otimes y')\big)\Big)(t) = \Phi_\alpha(\hat T_\Delta(x \otimes y')),\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat\Gamma : \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}' \to (\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}') \otimes (\mathcal{C} \otimes \mathcal{C}')$ denotes the composition of $\Gamma \otimes \Gamma'$ with the tensor flip of the two inner factors. Linear extension of this equation finally yields the assertion. □

Theorem 6.7. *Let $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})$ and suppose that the hypotheses of Theorem 6.5 are met. Let $p_\Delta \in \mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ denote the support projection of φ_Δ. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) Γ is asymptotically complete.

(b) The (increasing) sequence $\hat T_\Delta^n(p_\Delta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, converges to $\mathbb{1}$ σ-strongly.

(c) The state φ_Δ is uniformly absorbing for $\hat T_\Delta$, i. e., for every normal state $\hat\varphi$ on $\mathcal{M} \otimes \mathcal{M}'$ we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\hat\varphi \circ \hat T_\Delta^n - \varphi_\Delta\| = 0.$$

(d) The fixed space of $\hat T_\Delta$ is $\mathbb{C}\mathbb{1}$.

Proof. The implications (c)⇒(d)⇒(b) are obvious. According to Example 2.3 the diagonal projection p_Δ is one-dimensional in $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})' = \mathcal{B}\big(\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H})\big)$. Hence the implication (b)⇒(c) is also well-known (see e. g. [Goh04, Lem. A.5.3]).

We now show that (a) implies (b): Suppose that Γ is asymptotically complete, i. e., the extended dual transition operator $Z' : \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big) \to \mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathcal{M})\big)$ has trivial fixed space. The vector state of the GNS-vector $\xi_\varphi \in L^2(\mathcal{M})$ is invariant for Z'. For the one-dimensional projection p_φ onto $\mathbb{C}\xi_\varphi$ this implies that $(Z')^n(p_\varphi)$ increases and converges to $\mathbb{1}$ σ-weakly, i. e., we have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \big(\rho \circ (Z')^n\big)(p_\varphi) = \rho(\mathbb{1}) \tag{5}$$

for every normal functional ρ on $\mathcal{B}\big(L^2(\mathfrak{H})\big)$. A straightforward computation on elementary tensors verifies

$$\Phi_\alpha(z)(\mathbb{1}) = \varphi_\Delta(z), \qquad\qquad \Phi_\alpha(z)(p_\varphi) = (\varphi \otimes \varphi')(z)$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \odot \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})'$. Using the duality of Theorem 6.5, Equation (5) then implies

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\varphi \otimes \varphi')(\hat{T}_\Delta^n(z)) = \varphi_\Delta(z) \tag{6}$$

for every $z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \odot \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})'$. Since the Markov operator $\hat{T}_\Delta$ is norm contractive, this equation extends to all elements $z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})' = \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathfrak{H}})$ contained in the norm closure of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \odot \mathcal{B}(\bar{\mathfrak{H}})$. This closure covers all compact operators on $\mathfrak{H} \otimes \bar{\mathfrak{H}}$, in particular the one-dimensional projection $z = p_\Delta$. We therefore have

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (\varphi \otimes \varphi')(\hat{T}_\Delta^n(p_\Delta)) = 1.$$

Since $\varphi \otimes \varphi'$ is a faithful state, it follows that the increasing sequence $\hat{T}_\Delta^n(p_\Delta)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, converges σ-strongly to $\mathbb{1}$.

For the converse we essentially reverse the arguments: Suppose condition (c) holds. Then we particularly have Equation (6) for every $z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \odot \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})'$. It follows that Equation (5) holds for every functional ρ of the form $\rho = \Phi_\alpha(z)$ with $z \in \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H}) \otimes \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{H})'$. Since these functionals are norm dense in the predual of $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H}))$, Equation (5) extends to all functionals on $\mathcal{B}(\mathrm{HS}(\mathfrak{H}))$. Since p_φ is a one-dimensional projection, we finally conclude that Z' has trivial fixed points, i. e., Γ is asymptotically complete. □

7 Applications

In this final section we present a classical and a non-commutative example serving different purposes. The classical example demonstrates how the coupling method can be used to bound the speed of convergence by means of coding theory and combinatorics. The example itself is design to be easily accessible by examining suitable pictures, but the method itself immediately generalizes to arbitrary classical Markov processes an finite sets.

For non-commutative examples we do not have the combinatorics available. To apply the coupling method we therefore take a different approach. Although we do not arrive at good bounds for the speed of convergence, for certain examples our method has the advantage of being computationally simple.

7.1 A Commutative Example

Consider the set $S := \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ and the transition matrix

$$T := \begin{pmatrix} 5/6 & 1/6 & 0 \\ 1/3 & 1/2 & 1/6 \\ 0 & 1/3 & 2/3 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with transition graph}$$



.



Figure 1: Chosen Road Coloring



Figure 2: Graph Product of γ

A dilations of T is given by a map $\gamma : S \times C \to S$ with a set C together with a suitable probability measure on the set C. Here we choose the set $C := \{r, g, b\}$ (red, green, blue) and the map γ pictured in Figure 1. As a probability measure on C we fix

$$\nu(r) := 1/3, \qquad \nu(g) := 1/2, \qquad \nu(b) := 1/6.$$

The diagonal coupling $\hat{T}_\Delta$ then has a dilation given by the so called *graph product* map

$$\hat{\gamma} : (S \times S) \times C \longrightarrow S \times S, \quad \hat{\gamma}((s, s'),\ c) := (\gamma(s, c),\ \gamma(s', c)),$$

where C is again equipped with the measure ν. This map $\hat{\gamma}$ is shown in Figure 2.

Now, we call a finite sequence $(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ in C a *synchronizing sequence* if all paths in the graph of Figure 1 labeled precisely by $(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$ share the same destination (cf. [GKL06]). In the graph product, a path labeled by a synchronizing word obviously has its destination on the diagonal $\Delta := \{(s, s) \mid s \in S\}$. We therefore have the estimate

$$(\hat{\mu} \circ \hat{T}_\Delta^n)(\Delta) \geq \nu^{\otimes n}(\{(c_1, \ldots, c_n) \mid (c_1, \ldots, c_n) \text{ synchronizing}\})$$

for an arbitrary probability measure $\hat{\mu}$ on $S \times S$.

Inspecting Figure 2 it is immediate that the synchronizing sequences are precisely those that contain $(r, g, \ldots, g, r)$ or $(b, g, \ldots, g, b)$ as a subsequence. Equivalently, the **non**-synchronizing sequences are precisely those that after removing all g's are alternating in r and b. For each of this sequences its probability with respect to $\nu^{\otimes n}$ only depends on the number of occurrences of r, b, and g. Since there are $\binom{n}{k}$ different sequences of length n that contain $n-k$ times the element g, we arrive at the estimate

$$\begin{aligned}(\hat{\mu} \circ \hat{T}^n)(\Delta) &\geq 1 - \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} (\tfrac{1}{2})^{n-k} \cdot \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } k = 0 \\ 2 \cdot (\tfrac{1}{3} \cdot \tfrac{1}{6})^{k/2} & \text{, if } 0 \neq k \text{ is even} \\ (\tfrac{1}{6} + \tfrac{1}{3}) \cdot (\tfrac{1}{3} \cdot \tfrac{1}{6})^{(k-1)/2} & \text{, if } k \text{ is odd} \end{cases} \\ &\geq 1 - 2 \cdot (\tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{2})^n \end{aligned}$$

for every $n \geq 2$ (trivially also for $n = 0, 1$) and for an arbitrary probability measure $\hat{\mu}$ on $S \times S$. The classical coupling inequality then provides the upper bound

$$\|\mu_1 \circ T^n - \mu_2 \circ T^n\| \leq 4 \cdot (\tfrac{1}{2} + \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{2})^n.$$

Remark 7.1. A simple computation verifies that $\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{2}$ is the second largest eigenvalue of the transition matrix T. Hence, the asymptotic rate of $(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{6}\sqrt{2})^n$ in the coupling inequality is tight.

7.2 A Non-Commutative Example

We now turn to a non-commutative example. The example is motivated by the micromaser experiment in quantum optics, where a quantum harmonic oscillator interacts with a two level atom via the Jaynes-Cummings interactions (see e. g. [JC63, MS07]. Under some trapping state condition this model has been generalized in [GKL06] and shown to be asymptotically complete. This guarantees that our method can successfully be applied.

For sake of simplicity we choose model parameters that do not fit the Jaynes-Cummings interaction. Instead we take parameters such that the classical example discussed in Section 7.1 is embedded. A further advantage of our choice is that the computations can still be made by hand. The presented method itself can easily be adopted to any finite-dimensional asymptotically complete system.

We start by introducing some abbreviations. Let M_3 denote the algebra of complex (3×3)-matrices and put

$$s := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad a_+ := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We define a unitary matrix $u \in M_3 \otimes M_2$ as a 2×2 block matrix by

$$u := \begin{pmatrix} a_+ & i\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\, s^* \\ i\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}\, s & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

On the algebra M_2 of (2×2)-matrices we fix the state $\psi(y) := \frac{1}{3}y_{1,1} + \frac{2}{3}y_{2,2}$ $(y \in M_2)$. In this example we study the Markov operator

$$T : M_3 \to M_3, \quad T(x) := (\mathrm{Id} \otimes \psi)(u^*(x \otimes \mathbb{1})u).$$

Remark 7.2. The operator T maps diagonal matrices into diagonal matrices. If we identify diagonal matrices with $\ell^\infty(S)$ and restrict T, we recover the preceding example of Section 7.1.

The operator T already comes along with the dilation given by

$$\Gamma : M_3 \to M_3 \otimes M_2, \quad \Gamma(x) := u^*(x \otimes \mathbb{1})x.$$

Moreover, the state on M_3 given by $\varphi(x) := \frac{4}{7}x_{1,1} + \frac{2}{7}x_{2,2} + \frac{1}{7}x_{3,3}$ $(x \in M_3)$ satisfies $(\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Gamma = \varphi$ and Γ commutes with the modular automorphism groups of φ and ψ. The hypothesis of Theorem 6.5 and 6.7 are hence satisfied. To study the diagonal coupling associated with Γ and ψ we identify the commutants M_3' and M_2' again with M_3 and M_2, respectively, via the transpose map. Then a Kraus decomposition of the diagonal coupling $\hat{T}_\Delta$ is given by

$$\hat{T}_\Delta : M_3 \otimes M_3 \longrightarrow M_3 \otimes M_3$$
$$\hat{T}_\Delta(z) = t_1^* z t_1 + t_2^* z t_2 + t_3^* z t_3 + t_4^* z t_4$$

with

$$t_1 := \tfrac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}\,(a_+ \otimes a_+) + \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}\,(s^* \otimes s^*), \quad t_2 := \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}\,(s \otimes a_+) - \tfrac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}\,(a \otimes s^*),$$
$$t_4 := \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{3}\,(s \otimes s) + \tfrac{1}{3}\sqrt{6}\,(a \otimes a), \qquad t_3 := \tfrac{1}{6}\sqrt{6}\,(a_+ \otimes s) - \tfrac{1}{3}\sqrt{3}\,(s^* \otimes a).$$

Remark 7.3. The products $t_i^* t_j$ are linear independent. Hence $\hat{T}_\Delta$ is an extremal Markov operator; in particular, it is not of the type mentioned in Example 5.2 on page 11.

For simplifying the calculations you may observe that each operator t_i, $1 \le i \le 4$, respects the decomposition of $\mathbb{C}^3 \otimes \mathbb{C}^3$ into the subspaces

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{H}_0 &:= \mathrm{lin}\{e_1 \otimes e_1,\ e_2 \otimes e_2,\ e_3 \otimes e_3\}, \\ \mathfrak{H}_{+1} := \mathrm{lin}\{e_1 \otimes e_2,\ e_2 \otimes e_3\}, &\qquad \mathfrak{H}_{-1} := \mathrm{lin}\{e_2 \otimes e_1,\ e_3 \otimes e_2\}, \\ \mathfrak{H}_{+2} &:= \mathbb{C}e_1 \otimes e_3, \qquad \mathfrak{H}_{-2} := \mathbb{C}e_3 \otimes e_1, \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

where e_1, e_2, e_3 denotes the canonical basis of $\mathbb{C}^3$. More precisely, if we denote by p_k the projection onto $\mathfrak{H}_k$ for $k \in \{0, \pm 1, \pm 2\}$ and put $p_k := 0$ otherwise, we have

$$t_1 p_k = p_k t_1, \qquad t_2 p_k = p_{k-1} t_2, \qquad t_3 p_k = p_{k+1} t_3, \qquad t_4 p_k = p_k t_4$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. For the support projection $p_\Delta := \operatorname{supp} \varphi_\Delta \leq p_0$ a straightforward calculation then yields $p_k \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_\ell = 0$ for $\ell \neq k$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
p_2 \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_2 &= t_2^* t_2^* p_\Delta t_2 t_2 = \frac{11}{84} - \frac{5}{63}\sqrt{2} \approx 0.0187\,, \\
p_1 \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_1 &= \sum_{i\in\{1,4\}} (t_2^* t_i^* p_\Delta t_i t_2 + t_i^* t_2^* p_\Delta t_2 t_i) \\
&= \frac{1}{1008}\begin{pmatrix} 379 - 152\sqrt{2} & 54 - 9\sqrt{2} \\ 54 - 9\sqrt{2} & 190 - 116\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}, \\
p_0 \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_0 &= \sum_{i,j\in\{1,4\}} t_j^* t_i^* p_\Delta t_i t_j + t_3^* t_2^* p_\Delta t_2 t_3 + t_2^* t_3^* p_\Delta t_3 t_2 \\
&= \frac{1}{1008}\begin{pmatrix} 717 - 16\sqrt{2} & 52 + 189\sqrt{2} & 204 - 20\sqrt{2} \\ 52 + 189\sqrt{2} & 483 - 80\sqrt{2} & 56 - 147\sqrt{2} \\ 204 - 20\sqrt{2} & 56 + 147\sqrt{2} & 306 - 16\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix},
\end{aligned}$$

where the matrices on the rightmost side are given with respect to the tensor product basis mentioned in (7). Due to the symmetry under tensor flip the matrices of $p_{-1} \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_{-1}$ and $p_1 \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_1$ agree, and likewise for $p_{-2} \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_{-2}$ and $p_2 \hat{T}^2_\Delta(p_\Delta)\, p_2$. Computing the eigenvalues of these matrices[2] we find that the smallest eigenvalue occurs for $p_{\pm 1} T^2_\Delta(p_\Delta) p_{\pm 1}$ and is given by

$$r := \frac{1}{2016}\big(569 - 268\sqrt{2} - 9\sqrt{625 - 216\sqrt{2}}\big) \approx 0.014.$$

Consequently, we have $T^2_\Delta(p_\Delta) \geq r\mathbb{1}$. Since we also have $T^2_\Delta(p_\Delta) \geq p_\Delta$, it follows $T^2_\Delta(p_\Delta) \geq r\mathbb{1} + (1-r)p_\Delta$. By induction we deduce that

$$T^{2n}_\Delta(p_\Delta) \geq \big(1 - (1-r)^n\big)\mathbb{1} + (1-r)^n p_\Delta \geq \mathbb{1} - (1-r)^n \mathbb{1}$$

for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Now the Quantum Coupling Inequality (Theorem 4.2) finally yields

$$\|\varphi_1 \circ T^n - \varphi_2 \circ T^n\| \leq 4(1-r)^{n/4}$$

for every even $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Remark 7.4. The presented method relies on the fact that it follows from asymptotic completeness that $\hat{T}^n(p_\Delta)$ is strictly positive for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In this particular example $n = 2$ is the smallest number for which this occurs. Our numeric calculations show that choosing $n > 2$ improves the estimate monotonely. But the limit is not close to the rate given by the second largest eigenvalue of T, which is $\frac{1}{12} + \frac{1}{3}\sqrt{2} + \frac{1}{12}\sqrt{5} \approx 0.741$.

[2] The vector $\xi_\Delta \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ corresponding to the pure state φ_Δ always is an eigenvector of $T^n_\Delta(p_\Delta)$. The computations therefore reduce to (2×2)-matrices.

References

[BR87] O. Bratteli and D. W. Robinson. *Operator Algebras and Quantum Statistical Mechanics 1. C*- and W*-Algebras, Symmetry Groups, Decomposition of States*. Texts and Monographs in Physics. Springer, 2nd edition, 1987.

[Con90] J. B. Conway. *A Course in Functional Analysis*, volume 96 of *Graduated Text in Mathematics*. Springer New York, 2nd edition, 1990.

[Duv08] R. Duvenhage. Joinings of W*-dynamical systems. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 343(1):175–181, 2008.

[Duv10] R. Duvenhage. Ergodicity and mixing of W*-dynamical systems in terms of joinings. *Illinois J. Math.*, 54(2):543–566, 2010.

[Duv12] R. Duvenhage. Relatively independent joinings and subsystems of W*-dynamical systems. *Studia Math.*, 209(1):21–41, 2012.

[Fid01] F. Fidaleo. On the split property for inclusions of W*-algebras. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 130(1):121–127, 2001.

[Fid09] F. Fidaleo. An ergodic theorem for quantum diagonal measures. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 12(02):307–320, 2009.

[Für67] H. Fürstenberg. Disjointness in ergodic theory, minimal sets, and a problem in diophantine approximation. *Mathematical System Theory*, 1:1–49, 1967.

[GKL06] R. Gohm, B. Kümmerer, and T. Lang. Non-commutative symbolic coding. *Ergodic Theory and Dynam. Systems*, 26(5):1521–1548, 2006.

[Gla03] E. Glasner. *Ergodic Theory via Joinings*, volume 101 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, 2003.

[Goh04] R. Gohm. *Noncommutative Stationary Processes*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer, 2004.

[HHP12] E. Haapasalo, T. Heinosaari, and J.-P. Pellonpää. When do pieces determine the whole? extremal marginals of a completely positive map. *arXiv preprint*, quant-ph:1209.5933, 2012.

[JC63] E. T. Jaynes and F. W. Cummings. Comparison of quantum and semiclassical radiation theories with applications to the beam maser. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 51(1):89–109, 1963.

[KM00] B. Kümmerer and H. Maassen. A scattering theory for Markov chains. *Infin. Dimens. Anal. Quantum Probab. Relat. Top.*, 3(1):161–176, 2000.

[Lin02] T. Lindvall. *Lectures on the Coupling Method*. Dover Publications, 2002.

[MS07] P. Meystre and M. Sargent III. *Elements of Quantum Optics*. Springer, 4th edition, 2007.

[Par05] K. R. Parthasarathy. Extremal quantum states in coupled systems. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré Prob. Stat.*, 41(3):257–268, 2005.

[Ped79] G. K. Pedersen. *C^*-algebras and Their Automorphism Groups*, volume 14 of *London Mathematical Society Monographs*. Academic Press, 1979.

[Pit74] J. W. Pitman. Uniform rates of convergence for Markov chain transition probabilities. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und verwandte Gebiete*, 29:193–227, 1974.

[Rud04] O. Rudolph. On extremal quantum states of composite systems with fixed marginals. *J. Math. Phys.*, 45(11):4035–4041, 2004.

[Str81] S. Strătilă. *Modular Theory in Operator Algebras*. Editura Academiei and Abacus Press, 1981.

[Tho00] H. Thorisson. *Coupling, Stationarity and Regeneration*, volume XIV of *Probability and Its Applications*. Springer Berlin, 2000.